

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/712,250 | 11/13/2003 | Gary Ganzi | I0168-7077.19 | 8579 |
| 37462 7590 10/11/2007 LOWRIE, LANDO & ANASTASI RIVERFRONT OFFICE ONE MAIN STREET, ELEVENTH FLOOR CAMBRIDGE, MA 02142 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | PHASGE, ARUN S | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1795 | |
| | | | <u> </u> | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/11/2007 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@ll-a.com gengelson@ll-a.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|---|
| • | 10/712,250 | GANZI ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Arun S. Phasge | 1753 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-14 and 17-30 is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 15, 16, 31, 32 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 11) | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No d in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) | • | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | te |

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group II in the reply filed on 7/30/07 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 15, 16, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mir, U.S. Patent 6,296,751.

Art Unit: 1753

The Mir patent discloses the claimed method and apparatus comprising a flow channel including only cation exchange material (see abstract). The patent further teaches that the cation exchange material can be placed next to the anion membrane, wherein the patent teaches that water splitting occurs at the interface of the anion membrane with a cation material (see abstract and col. 4, lines 28-31). The patent further teaches one can use the various membranes and layers in the concentrating channels in electrodeionization to "provide even further improvements in reduced scaling (see col. 4, lines 37-41).

Accordingly, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of the Mir patent with the teachings contained there, because the patent teaches that one having ordinary skill in the art could through routine experimentation provide further improvements in reduced scaling by the appropriate selection of membranes and resin or layers.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 1753

(571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arun S. Phasge

Application/Control Number: 10/712,250

Art Unit: 1753

Page 5

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753

asp